B. H. Tirumaliah and C. M. Puttamallappa and the remaining two were filled up by confirming two *sub pro tem* daffedars in service, *viz.*, Janardhan Singh (seven years' service, Police Inspector's test) and Velayudam (29 years' service).

(*d*) Of the retrenched daffedars, Thimmiah was not again given the place of a daffedar as he was illiterate and not qualified. M. K. Nagappa has been appointed daffedar. Machiah was not provided with a place because his work has been unsatisfactory. Regarding Aswathanarayanappa, *vide* (*b*) above.

(*e*) Daffedari appointments are filled up after taking into consideration the work, conduct and qualifications of the candidates and are not made on the sole consideration of seniority.

(*f*) There are no vacancies in daffedari at present.

Messrs. K. V. Veeranna, Gurubasappa and **K. C. Subbanna** (Chamarajnagar Taluk); **M. C. Linge Gowda** and **S. C. Mallaiya** (Maddur Taluk); **S. H. Anjaneya** and **Y. V. Gundu Rao** (Yedatore Taluk); **K. M. Krishnappa Gowda** (Nagamangala Taluk); **H. K. Madaiya** and **Puttaswami Gowda** (Malvalli Taluk); **A. Linge Gowda, S. K. Sidde Gowda** and **S. Thimme Gowda** *alias* **Thammayya Gowda** (Krishnarajpet Taluk); **H. Honnaiya** and **M. L. Linganna** (Mandya Taluk); **B. Chamaiya** and **D. Mari Gowda** (Seringapatam Taluk); **Kongalappa** and **P. Basappa** (Gundlupet Taluk); **Patel Sidde Gowda** and **H. Hombe Gowda** (T.-Narsipur Taluk); **D. Chikke Urs** (Periyapatna Sub-Taluk); **Sivananje Gowda** and **Savandayya** (Mysore Taluk); **B. S. Puttaswami** and **T. S. Madappa** (Nanjangud Taluk); **C. Dasappa** and **Basappa** (Heggaddevankote Taluk); **P. Sannamallappa** (Yelandur Jahgir); **T. Mariyappa** (Mysore City Municipality); **K. Kempe Gowda** (Mandya Municipality); **Mahomed Hussain** *alias* **Sabjan Saheb** (Hunsur Municipality); and **K. Nanjaiya** (Malvalli Municipality).—

Q.—12. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) Whether there have not been protests against the extension of the Agriculturists Relief Regulation into all the Maidan Taluks in the State?

(*b*) Whether the Government called for objections from these taluks before extending the Regulation to them?

(*c*) Have the Government provided any special credit facilities in the said taluks?

(*d*) Has there not been a shrinkage of credit from the point of view of the borrowing raiyats on account of this extension of the Regulation?

(*e*) What is the fall in the court fees revenue from 1st January 1934 to 31st March 1934 from the corresponding period of each of the past three years?

Chief Secretary to Government.—

A.—12. (*a*) Yes. A few protests were received after the extension of the Regulation.

(*b*) No.

(*c*) There are the land mortgage banks in some taluks and co-operative societies in others to provide credit facilities.

(*d*) Government have no information.

(*e*) A statement showing the court fees realised for the period during the past three years is placed on the table (*vide* Appendix).

Supplementary Questions and Answers.

Mr. J. Mahomed Imam.—

Before the Agriculturists' Relief Regulation was first introduced into the Malnad, did the Government consider the conditions of the Malnad and did they consult the people of the Malnad?

Chief Secretary to Government.—

Government did consider the condition of the Malnad, but I cannot say whether they consulted the people of the Malnad.

Mr. J. Mahomed Imam.—

The bill having been extended to the Maidan with retrospective effect, have not protests been received from each District Headquarter against such extension?

Chief Secretary to Government.—

Not all districts have protested against the extension.

Mr. J. Mahomed Imam.—

Then, how many districts have protested?

Chief Secretary to Government.—

Notice.

Mr. J. Mahomed Imam.—

Is it not a fact that the little protection that creditors enjoyed has been taken away as a result of the extension of the Regulation to the Maidan ?

Chief Secretary to Government.—

The merits of the Regulation cannot be discussed at question time.

Mr. B. S. Puttaswami.—

Did not the Government promise, when the Regulation was first introduced, that before extending it to the Maidan, the opinion of the people of the Maidan would be taken ?

First Member of Council.—

The member might refer to the proceedings and obtain the information.

Dharmapravartha M. L. Nagappa Setty.—

Are the Government aware that raiyats have been put to considerable hardship as a result of this Regulation ?

Chief Secretary to Government.—

No.

Dharmapravartha M. L. Nagappa Setty.—

Does the Regulation apply only to suits for the recovery of dues in private transactions or does it also include any action that Government officers may take for the recovery of land revenue due to Government ?

First Member of Council.—

There is a separate law governing the steps to be taken for the recovery of land revenue dues.

Dharmapravartha M. L. Nagappa Setty.—

Why not bring land revenue also under the purview of this Regulation ?

Karnataka State Archives
(Departmental Library)
ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಾಜ್ಯ ಪತ್ರಾಗಾರ
(ಇಲಾಖಾ ಗ್ರಂಥಾಲಯ)
Accession No. 4673

Second Member of Council.—

This, I think, is not the time for discussing such points of law.

Mr. K. V. Veeranna.—

What exactly is the nature of the protests against the extension of the Regulation and why were people of the Maidan not consulted before the Regulation was extended to the Maidan ?

First Member of Council.—

This House which consists of representatives of the people asked for its extension to the Maidan.

Mr. K. V. Veeranna.—

Have the High Court expressed themselves in favour of the extension ?

First Member of Council.—

Yes.

Mr. K. V. Veeranna.—

What credit facilities have Government created in all the taluks to which the Regulation has been extended ? Have the Government established land mortgage banks in all such taluks ?

First Member of Council.—

No, not in all the taluks.

Mr. K. V. Veeranna.—

Are not money-lenders put to much difficulty as a result of this Regulation ?

First Member of Council.—

Government do not think so.

Mr. K. V. Veeranna.—

When will land mortgage banks be established in all the taluks to which this Regulation has been applied ?

Chief Secretary to Government.—

I cannot say.

Mr. K. V. Veeranna.—

When the Regulation has been extended to all parts of the State, does it not follow that you should establish a land mortgage bank in each taluk ?

Chief Secretary to Government —

Not necessarily.

Mr. T. Mariyappa.—

Are the Government aware that this Regulation applies to about 95 per cent of poor people ?

Chief Secretary to Government.—

I cannot say.

Mr. T. Mariyappa.—

Beyond the attachment of moveables, what other facilities have been provided for creditors to collect their dues ?

Chief Secretary to Government.—

Please refer to the Regulation.

Mr. T. Mariyappa.—

Are not the Government aware that it has become very difficult for creditors to collect their dues ?

Chief Secretary to Government.—

No.

Mr. T. Mariyappa.—

Is it not an acknowledged duty of any Government to enable creditors to collect their dues ?

Chief Secretary to Government.—

This is not the time for discussing principles of legislation.

Mr. T. Mariyappa.—

Have the Government not received petitions to the effect that collection of dues has become very difficult ?

Chief Secretary to Government.—

Some such have been received. But some others are equally strongly in favour of the extension of the Regulation.

Mr. T. Maryiappa.—

Are the Government aware that when the enactment of a similar Act was proposed in the United Provinces, His Excellency the Governor observed that it would be a very unjust piece of legislation ?

Chief Secretary to Government.—

I am not aware of it. But I am aware that some other Indian States and British Indian provinces are undertaking legislation for the protection of the raiyat on lines similar to this measure.

Mr. M. A. Gopalaswami Iyengar.—

Did the Government consult their own district officers before extending the Regulation to Maidan ?

Chief Secretary to Government.—

Yes.

Mr. M. A. Gopalaswami Iyengar.—

If so, what was their opinion ?

Chief Secretary to Government.—

That is not very material here.

Mr. M. A. Gopalaswami Iyengar.—

Did the High Court consult their subordinate officers before furnishing their own opinion ?

Chief Secretary to Government.—

I cannot say.

Mr. M. A. Gopalaswami Iyengar.—

From whom have protests been received ? Is it from poor raiyats or rich money-lenders ?

Chief Secretary to Government.—

We have not asked all those who have protested whether they are poor raiyats or rich money-lenders. The member can imagine from whom the protests are likely to have come.

Mr. Jathare Naik.—

Have money-lenders represented that their debts cannot be collected, or have poor raiyats represented that they cannot get loans ?

Chief Secretary to Government.—

Not the latter in any case.

Mr. Malur Subba Rao.—

Are the Government aware that there has been a marked shrinkage of credit as a result of the extension of the Regulation and that needy raiyats are unable to raise loans ?

Chief Secretary to Government.—

Government have no information.

Mr. Venkataramane Gowda.—

Is not this Regulation calculated to promote the best interests of the raiyats ?

Chief Secretary to Government.—

Government think so.

Mr. Venkataramane Gowda.—

Have not the Government embodied in this Regulation all the best points in many other Regulations ?

Chief Secretary to Government.—

I think I had better not answer the question.

Mr. Venkataramane Gowda.—

Is this Regulation not a boon to the agricultural classes as a whole ?

Chief Secretary to Government.—

That is the opinion of Government.

Mr. Hosakoppa Krishna Rao.—

Have any raiyats represented that the extension of the Regulation has done them any good ?

Chief Secretary to Government.—

That is what they say.

GOVERNMENT BUSINESS—(*Continued.*).

I. General Principles of the Bill to amend the Land Revenue Code—(*concld.*).

MR. B. S. PUTTASWAMI observed that the first portion of the statement of the general principles of the Bill which